

Remarks

In a Final Office Action mailed 29 December 2005, the Examiner rejected all claims (1-25) under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by Stuart (United States Patent Number 6,466,935).

Applicants have amended claims 1, 12, and 15 to overcome the Examiner's rejection and respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejection. In particular, Applicants have amended claims 1, 12, and 15 to incorporate a feature that has not yet been claimed in prior prosecution. Claims 1, 12, and 15 now all incorporate recitations of a hierarchical naming applied to all print objects such that the hierarchical name identifies information (such as location information) regarding the print object. This amendment, though not previously presented or discussed in prior prosecution, does not add new matter. Rather, such a feature is clearly supported in the specification. For example, location information (e.g., geographic partitioning of the database) is discussed for example, at page 8, lines 10-18 and at page 9, lines 14-15. The use of the hierarchical naming of print objects in the database to provide location and security information is discussed, for example, on page 16, lines 4-15 and at page 18, lines 8-15.

A petition for a one month extension and a Request for Continuing Examination accompanies this amendment along with required fees. Should any issues remain, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,



SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Daniel Fishman, Reg. No. 35,512

Duft Bomsen & Fishman, LLP

Telephone: (303) 786-7687

Facsimile: (303) 786-7691